$\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{eq}}-\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{eq}} 97 \cdot 1(3)^{\circ} \mathrm{J}$. The molecules are held together by a single intermolecular secondary bond [3.713 (1) $\AA$ ] between the Te atoms and the axial bromine atoms of adjacent pairs of molecules to give loose dimers.

The remaining tribromides all attain square-based pyramidal geometry at the tellurium atom by means of bridging bromine atoms. The geometries of the $\mathrm{CTeBr}_{4}$ units are closely comparable in the three compounds but whereas the title compound adopts a polymeric chain structure, the presence of an ethoxy substituent on the phenyl ring in tribromo(4-ethoxyphenyl)tellurium apparently leads to a dimeric structure (although the corresponding trichloride is polymeric). This structure is also adopted by the cyclohexene compound. None of these square-based pyramidal structures show significant secondary bonding because the 'vacant' coordination site at the tellurium atom is occupied by the lone pair. In the biphenylyl compound a secondary $\mathrm{Te} \cdots \mathrm{Br}$ bond can be formed as a vacant site remains in the tellurium coordination sphere. In this case the bulky biphenylyl group \{with the second phenyl ring, not directly attached to the Te atom, making a close approach to the Te atom $[\mathrm{Te} \cdots \mathrm{C}=$ 2.945 (9) $\AA]\}$ would appear to prevent a fourth bromine atom fully entering the tellurium coordination sphere, but it allows very asymmetric bridging by a bromine atom, with one primary $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond $[2.675(1) \AA]$ and one secondary $\mathrm{Te} \cdots \mathrm{Br}$ bond [3.713 (1) $\AA$ ]. The other examples all have almost symmetrical $\mu$-bromo bridges with a mean $\mathrm{Te}-\mu-\mathrm{Br}=$ $2.90 \AA$.

On the evidence so far gathered (Table 3), the preferred structure for $R \mathrm{TeBr}_{3}$ compounds appears to be that with bridging halogen atoms giving rise to square-based pyramidal geometry with oligomeric or dimeric structures. However, when a bulky organic group is present the trigonal bipyramidal geometry predicted by simple VSEPR is adopted.

We thank SERC for a post-doctoral fellowship (WDH).
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#### Abstract

C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}, M_{r}=701 \cdot 19\), triclinic, $P \overline{1}$, $a=9.690$ (2), $b=10.325$ (2), $c=9.194$ (1) $\AA$ A, $\alpha=$ $91.38(1), \beta=111.94(1), \gamma=72.51(1)^{\circ}, V=$ $809.71 \AA^{3}, Z=1, D_{c}=1.44 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=356$, Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71069 \AA, \mu($ Mo $K \alpha)=8.5$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method and refined by full-matrix least-squares calculations to a final $R$ of 0.024 for 4343 independent observed reflections $[I>3 \sigma(I)$ ]. The crystal structure contains centrosymmetric, square-planar $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ molecules with Pd-Cl 2.290 (1), Pd-P 2.337 (1) $\AA$, and $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P} 92.0$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}$.


Introduction. In the course of studies of solvates of trans- $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{Cl})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (Ferguson, McAlees, McCrindle, Parvez \& Roberts, 1982) we were surprised on interrogating the Cambridge Crystallographic Database to find no structure determination for trans- $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (1). As we had available a plentiful supply of crystals of (1) grown from acetonitrile, we undertook its X-ray analysis to determine precise values for the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond lengths.

A crystal $0.50 \times 0.35 \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ was used for data collection with an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. Following preliminary photographic studies, ac-
curate unit-cell data and the crystal-orientation matrix were determined from a least-squares refinement of the setting angles of 25 reflexions with $10<\theta<15^{\circ}$. Intensity data were collected by the $\theta / 2 \theta$ scan method using monochromatic Mo $K \alpha$ radiation. The intensities of three reflexions, chosen as standards, were monitored every hour of exposure time and showed no significant variation. Intensities for 4493 reflexions were measured of which 4343 had $I>3 \sigma(I)$ and were used in structure solution and refinement. Data were corrected for Lorentz-polarization factors and for absorption. Maximum and minimum values of the transmission coefficients are 0.831 and 0.723 respectively.

The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method. Initial refinement (Sheldrick, 1976) by full-matrix least-squares calculations with isotropic temperature factors lowered $R$ to 0.095 which then dropped to 0.032 after four rounds of full-matrix refinement with anisotropic vibration parameters. The top 15 peaks ( $0.3-0.6 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ ) of a difference map computed at this stage corresponded to the 15 H atoms in the asymmetric unit; these were then included but not refined in subsequent refinement cycles in geometrically idealized positions ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} 0.95 \AA$ ) and an overall isotropic thermal parameter was refined for H . In the final rounds of calculations a weighting scheme of the form $w=1 /\left(\sigma^{2} F+p F^{2}\right)$ was employed where the final $p$ parameter refined to 0.0025 . Scattering factors used in the calculations were taken from

Table 1. $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ : final fractional coordinates $(\mathrm{P}$ and $\left.\mathrm{Cl} \times 10^{5}, \mathrm{C} \times 10^{4}\right)$ and $\bar{U}\left(\AA^{2} ; \mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{Cl}\right.$ and $\mathrm{P} \times 10^{4}$, C $\times 10^{3}$ ), with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $\bar{U}^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | 0 | $293(1)$ |
| Pd | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cl | $15473(5)$ | $8668(5)$ | $20630(5)$ | $504(2)$ |
| P | $21012(4)$ | $-19362(3)$ | $2080(4)$ | $325(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $4075(2)$ | $-1893(2)$ | $1348(2)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $5094(2)$ | $-1862(3)$ | $613(3)$ | $74(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $6581(3)$ | $-1789(3)$ | $1551(5)$ | $103(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $7060(3)$ | $-1775(3)$ | $3114(5)$ | $90(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $6030(3)$ | $-1763(3)$ | $3858(3)$ | $68(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $4549(2)$ | $-1829(2)$ | $2967(2)$ | $53(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1979(2)$ | $-3409(1)$ | $1144(2)$ | $39(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $548(2)$ | $-3368(2)$ | $1195(2)$ | $51(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $436(3)$ | $-4476(3)$ | $1912(3)$ | $73(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1713(3)$ | $-5640(2)$ | $2523(2)$ | $76(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $3101(3)$ | $-5676(2)$ | $2478(3)$ | $75(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $3273(3)$ | $-4561(2)$ | $1817(3)$ | $62(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $2117(2)$ | $-2390(2)$ | $-1701(2)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $2426(2)$ | $-3724(2)$ | $-2090(2)$ | $55(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $2433(3)$ | $-4011(2)$ | $-3574(3)$ | $76(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $2194(3)$ | $-2961(3)$ | $-4642(2)$ | $80(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $1884(3)$ | $-1648(3)$ | $-4282(2)$ | $79(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $1845(3)$ | $-1345(2)$ | $-2799(2)$ | $64(1)$ |
|  |  | $* \dot{U}=\frac{1}{3}\left(U_{11}+U_{22}+U_{33}\right)$. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Interatomic distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$

| $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl} & 2 \cdot \\ \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P} & 2 \cdot 3 \end{array}$ | $2 \cdot 290$ (1) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22) \quad 1.3$ | 1.383 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2.337 \text { (1) }$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26) \quad 1.3$ | 1.388 (2) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23) \quad 1.3$ | 1.390 (2) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(11) \quad 1.819$ (2) |  | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24) \quad 1.3$ | 1.382 (4) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(21) \quad 1.820$ (1) |  | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25) \quad 1.3$ | 1.351 (4) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(31) \quad 1.810$ (1) |  | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26) \quad 1.3$ | 1.395 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.397 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 1.386 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1 \cdot 390$ (2) | C(31)-C(36) | 1.391 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.399 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 1.391 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.336 (5) | C(33)-C(34) | 1.387 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.403 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | 1.359 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.383 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 1.403 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ | 92.0 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 16) 119.5 (2) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 13) 118.3 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 118.1 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 14) 122.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 110.7 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 15) $\quad 119.9$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 112.1 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 16) 119.2 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 104.0 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 15) $\quad 120.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 104.8 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | (26) 119.4 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 106.3 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 23) 119.9 (2) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | (24) 120.4 (2) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 121.0 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | (25) 119.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 119.3 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 26) 121.3 (2) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 118.8 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | (25) 119.4 (2) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 121.8 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 36) 119.3 (1) |
| P-C(31)-C(32) | 123.0 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 33) 120.3 (2) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 117.7 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 34) $\quad 119.6$ (2) |
|  |  | C(33)-C(34)-C(35) | (35) $\quad 120.7$ (2) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 36) 120.1 (2) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | (35) $\quad 119.9$ (2) |

Cromer \& Mann (1968) and Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965) and allowance was made for anomalous dispersion (Cromer \& Liberman, 1970). Refinement converged with $R=0.024$ and $R_{w}=$ $\left(\sum w \Delta^{2} / \sum w F_{o}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=0.032 .^{*}$ A final difference map was devoid of significant features. The final fractional coordinates and their standard deviations are in Table 1 and details of molecular dimensions are in Table 2.

Discussion. The crystal structure consists of discrete molecules (Fig. 1) separated by normal van der Waats distances. The $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ molecule lies on a crystallographic inversion centre and the $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ moiety is consequently trans and planar.

The $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond lengths are compared in Table 3 with dimensions from other $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(L)_{2}$ systems ( $L=$ phosphine ligand) obtained via the Cambridge Database. The $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ [2.290(1) A] and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ [2.337 (1) $\AA$ ] distances in (1) are in the middle of the ranges of values 2.267 (9)-2.326 (4) $\AA$ and $2 \cdot 310$ (5)2.388 (6) $\AA$ given in Table 3 for $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ distances respectively.

[^0]

Fig. 1. A molecule of trans- $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$. The phenyl-ring C atoms are numbered sequentially $\mathrm{C}(i 1)-\mathrm{C}(i 6)(i=1,2,3)$. For clarity only one atom in each ring is numbered. $\mathrm{C}(i 1)$ is bonded to P.

Table 3. Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) in trans-dichlorobis(phosphine)palladium complexes

| $L$ in trans $-\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} L_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | Pd-P | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{PPh}_{3} \\ & \left(\mathrm{Bu}^{t}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{t}\right)_{2} \end{aligned}$ | 2.290 (1) | 2.337 (1) | This work <br> (1) |
|  | 2.291 (5) | 2.388 (6) |  |
|  | 2.305 (6) | 2.380 (6) |  |
|  | 2.302 (5) | 2.372 (6) |  |
|  | 2.305 (6) | 2.374 (6) |  |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CClCF}_{3}$ | 2.291 (1) | 2.322 (1) | (2) |
| $\mathrm{PMe}_{2}$ (neo-menthyl) | 2.304 (5) | 2.344 (4) | (3) |
|  | 2.297 (5) | 2.361 (5) |  |
| $\mathrm{PMe}_{2}$ (menthyl) | 2.296 (9) | $2 \cdot 310$ (5) | (3) |
|  | 2.267 (9) |  |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right)_{2}$ | 2.316 (5) | 2.379 (4) | (4) |
|  | 2.287 (5) | 2.376 (4) |  |
|  | 2.302 (4) | 2.370 (4) |  |
|  | 2.326 (4) | 2.378 (4) |  |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ | 2.311 (2) | 2.326 | (5) |
|  | 2.293 (2) | 2.322 |  |

References: (1) Al-Salem, McDonald, Markham, Norton \& Shaw (1980); (2) Taylor, Jacobson \& Carty (1975); (3) Kan, Miki, Kai, Yasuoka \& Kasai (1978); (4) McDonald (1979); (5) Bachechi, Zambonelli \& Venanzi (1977).

The small distortion of the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ angle from $90^{\circ}$ [to $92.0(1)^{\circ}$ ] is undoubtedly a consequence of intramolecular crowding effects. Thus, the phenyl-ring C atom (C11) comes close to eclipsing the Cl atom

Itorsion angle $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(11) 16.0(2)^{\circ}$ ] and $\mathrm{Pd}-$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(11)\left[118.1(1)^{\circ}\right]$ is considerabily larger than the other $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ angles $\left[110.7\right.$ and $112 \cdot 1(1)^{\circ}$ ]. As is commonly found in $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ complexes, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ angles are all less than tetrahedral [ $\left.104 \cdot 0-106 \cdot 3(1)^{\circ}\right]$. The orientations adopted by the phenyl rings $\mathrm{C}(21)-$ $\mathrm{C}(26)$ and $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ are such that an ortho proton on each ring is directed towards the Pd atom but the distances $[\mathrm{Pd} \cdots \mathrm{H}(22) 2 \cdot 92, \mathrm{Pd} \cdots \mathrm{H}(36) 3.22 \AA]$ are too great for any significant interaction. The remaining dimensions [mean P-C 1.816 (1), C-C 1.384 (4) $\AA$ ] are normal and in accord with accepted values.
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#### Abstract

PbOH}]^{+} . \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{10}^{2-}\), triclinic, $P \overline{1}, a=$ 4.3604 (4), $b=7.4214$ (6), $c=7.5016$ (9) $\AA, \alpha=$ 101.31 (1), $\beta=96.97$ (2), $\gamma=109.19$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}, V=$ $220.24 \AA^{3}, M_{r}=612.484, Z=1, D_{c}=4.62 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$.

^[ * Formerly ERDE. ]

Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71069 \AA, \mu(\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha)=$ $38.53 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. Final $R=0.055$ for 959 unique reflections. In the $[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OH})]^{+}$complex ion each lead atom is coordinated to three oxygen atoms, and each oxygen atom to three lead atoms, thus forming an infinite ladder-like chain. The azotetrazolide anion adopts a trans conformation about the $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ bond.


[^0]:    * Anisotropic thermal parameters, calculated H -atom coordinates and structure factors have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36881 ( 22 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

